

Gravity the Great Connector

Gregory Round 2020

When we discuss the Einsteinian story of gravity, Space-Time is the leading protagonist. This strange collection of time and physical space, warped by large objects such as stars and planets, delivers to the universe the bonding force: Gravity. But is this true? Certainly modern science follows the scriptures of Einstein, with a passion that is no less, than that of a religious zealot. Einstein is God. Well, at least a scientific super hero. Unfortunately for all those believers, like all the other religious characters, Einstein was just a human. A man that struggled with his scientific mortality. However, this is not a story about Einstein. This is an explanation of the reasons that show Space-Time to be non existent.

The universe is amassed with objects, including; stars, planets, moons, gas clouds, radiation, nebula, galaxies, dark matter and life forms. All these objects interact with each other by some means and gravity, could be that means. Gravity, is the likely connector of all things in the universe. So how does Einstein's vision of gravity stand up to this idea of a Great Connector?

The idea of Space-Time grabbed the scientific world with both hands in the 1920s and through the fear of condemnation and life in a scientific purgatory, took the modern world to a place that resembled the Spanish Inquisition. One either submitted to this new world order, or one was thrown onto the fires of scientific heresy to be torched and charred: and out of work. There was no room for free thinkers. No room for questioning the great breakthrough of 'Space-Time'. Yet it does not take a concerted effort to realise that the concept Space-Time is wrong.

The hypothesis of Space-Time suggest that the space between objects in a vacuum is filled with enough tangible matter to allow it to be manipulated by the mass of an object to create a means for gravity to exist. In this case that object will be our own star: the Sun. However, as I have previously stated, **the space between any two objects in a vacuum is ALWAYS empty**. This is a very important element of my dismissal of Space-Time as a reality.

It appears that scholars agree that there is an average of one atom per cubic centimetre¹ within the void of outer space. That doesn't leave a lot of mass to build a system that can be warped by a star. The space between those atoms is empty. Nothing exist that can be bonded together to formulate some kind of manipulative landscape, that would control the movement of objects orbiting other objects. Our planet Earth orbits our star, the Sun. According to Space-Time enthusiast this could not happen without the curve of Space-Time forcing the Earth to orbit the Sun rather than continue on its Newtonian journey.

Einstein saw the universe as one-flat-plane. He must have derived this conclusion because it suited his idea of curved Space-Time. And we are all aware of how Albert manipulated his theories to give the answers that he was looking for. We only have to look at the 'Deep Field' image from Hubble² to see that space is three dimensional and not flat. So if space is three dimensional then how can Einsteins warped Space-Time exist? The simple answer is it can not. Why? Because if the void of space could be warped by our Sun then it would be warped three dimensionally. Einstein's warpage

1 <https://hypertextbook.com/facts/2000/DaWeiCai.shtml>

2 https://www.spacetelescope.org/science/deep_fields/

bends Space-Time from the Sun's equatorial plane to beneath its 'Southern Pole'. And as I have explained in "Gravitational Conundrums"³ this is not possible with the solar system that we live in.

If Space-Time existed and it was able to be warped by stars and planets, then it would encapsulate the Sun within a warped Space-Time, so that, the objects in our solar system would be forced to reside on the inside edge of the encapsulation. In other words, they could not line up on an equatorial plane. They would travel on Newton's journey until they were halted by the Space-Time encapsulation and forced to orbit the Sun. Similarly, a 'black hole' would not exist because there would be no event horizon. No depression in Space-Time that would hide the 'black hole' object beneath the surface of Space-Time. A black object would be in the middle of the Space-Time encapsulation just as our Sun would be. Any gas clouds would be three dimensionally fixed to the outside of the Space-Time warpage. Furthermore, the gasses of these clouds would rain down on the complete surface of the black hole, making it impossible to see any dark shape within the encapsulation⁴. Of-course there would be a time when the gas clouds are completely consumed by the black hole. Then a 'hole' in space would be visible. Astronomers are continually taking images of their mysterious black holes. However I totally dismiss these image stories, as the astronomers means to promote their own employment and hopefully, to be awarded a Nobel Prize. What they are seeing is evidence of black objects, that I call **Dark Stars**.

Einstein also predicted the existence of Gravity Waves and believed that one day they would be detected moving away from the collision of two massive black holes. In recent years these waves of gravity have reportedly been detected and a Nobel Prize awarded. My questions are: how can anyone state with out any shadow of doubt that they can prove these waves came from the collision of two black holes? Where is the data that shows a collision? These mysterious waves were shown to have been detected on both sides of the North American Continent within the special apparatus build for the purpose. But what was detected? The measurement of sub atomic movement was seen in both machines, one on the East Coast of the US and one on the West Coast. From this the scientist concluded that these movements were produced by passing gravity waves from a distant collision of two super black holes⁵.

If this were true then the waves would be continuous. There would not be just one observation of the effects of movement but rather many many continuous effects. Moreover, the assumption that these waves came from the collision of two super massive black holes is no more valid than Eddington's collaboration with Einstein to give credibility to Einstein's theory of General Relativity. Everyone who has studied physics knows that Eddington faked the outcome of his experiments during the 1919 lunar eclipse. Why he did this is a totally different and compelling story. Because I have proven more than one hundred years after Eddington's fraudulent experiments, that Space-Time is impossible, we are now free to discuss the science of 'Space-Time' without the fear of being ostracised from the scientific world. Gravity waves may truly exist within the universe. However not in the way that Einstein predicted. Einstein's problem with cosmological physics, was his inability to see outer space, in different terms to terrestrial space.

There is an assumption that gravity emanating from the centre of an object, attracts other objects of less mass. And yet we are told that Space-Time creates gravity. However the inside curve of warped 'Space-Time' would need a mechanism to cause falling. Mass can't fall if it is not being pushed or pulled. The curvature of 'Space-Time' would be inert. Therefore, no action would be available to create gravity. Now Einstein, with his terrestrial thinking, saw objects rolling down a

3 http://gregoryround.com/download/Gravitationalconundrums_2.0.1.pdf

4 This would depend on the number of AU distances within the warpage.

5They are using *thought experiments* instead of real observations.

slope and considered this to be the same for a 'Space-Time' curvature, where an object would roll down the curve unless it had enough momentum to travel in an orbit. However in space, that is, in a vacuum, there is nothing to compel the object to move let alone roll down towards the larger mass. 'Space-Time' does not give us **even a PROBABILITY of gravity**. Gravity has to come from mass not from geometric conclusions.

So what is gravity and what is its source? Newton said gravity was a force. Well done Issac! A force from where to where. A force that controls objects. How? Is gravity emitted from the core of mass? If so then by what means does this happen? How does gravity attach to mass and how does it pull that mass towards its own origin? Gravity is not magnetism. If gravity is emitted from mass then it moves outward to the surface of that mass and continues into outer space. How does it then connect to another mass? It may interact with the gravity from the other mass. As an example, the Earth's gravity may entwine with the gravity of our Moon's gravity, like strings twisted around each other. Two objects that are attached by gravity do have a centre of gravity that can be within the largest mass or some point between the two. This point is called the Barycentre.

The Barycentre of the Earth–Moon system, is located ~ 4,600 km from the centre of the Earth's core. That distance is 75% of the Earth's radius of 6,378 km. This type of connection happens when the two bodies are of unequal masses. When the two bodies are of similar masses, the barycenter will generally be located between them, in space, and both bodies will orbit around that point⁶. I find it difficult to imagine gravity as a string like force entwining itself with other gravity forces and then holding that pose, or, pulling a weaker force to the surface of the stronger force. Entwining gravitational strings would not produce waves. Therefore if gravity waves exist, then they cannot come from a system of gravity between to forces, when that gravity is produced by the different masses themselves. The current firm belief is that gravity is produced by mass. This alone destroys Einstein's belief that gravity is formed from the curvature of Space-Time.

I am postulating that gravity cannot be produced by mass and that gravity is certainly not made from a Space-Time curvature, given that I have proven Space-Time to be a false prophecy. But rather that gravity is a force that resides in free space and may be a product of dark energy.

Free Space Gravity: How Gravity Connects Everything

Free Space Gravity (FSG) is a force that exist outside visible mass. The controlling mechanism of FSG is a wave like structure that is attracted to other segments of FSG. The origin of these waves is most likely Dark Energy. FSG compresses visible mass to a point that creates an encapsulation bubble that houses all the elements that make up that particular mass. FSG also connects two different objects of visible mass into a system: such as the Earth and the Moon. Each planet and moon has a bubble of FSG encapsulating it. If one object is in range of another then a third encapsulation will become evident. This third bubble compresses the previous two to a point where they are now in a binary system. The Sun is compressed by FSG as is each and every planet, moon and rock in orbit around the Sun. They, including the Sun, are further encapsulated by the *parent* FSG bubble. This bubble is ~ 15 Billion kilometres in diameter and marks the boundary of our solar system.

The parent FSG bubble is constantly compressing our solar system and is kept from crushing it into a tinny object of extreme mass by the pulsation of all the inner FSG bubbles that can be found

6 <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Barycenter>

within our solar system. The Sun, being more than 99% of the solar systems mass, has enough energy to push against the FSG bubble that surrounds it. This action along with the continuing compression of the FSG bubble causes the Sun's Corona to be hotter than its surface.

Within the universe there are areas where gravity exists without a physical object seen producing it. One such place is an area of space called the Great Attractor. The Great Attractor is a gravitational anomaly in intergalactic space at the centre of the Laniakea Super cluster that reveals the existence of a localised concentration of mass tens of thousands of times more massive than the Milky Way⁷. This mass is observable by its effects on the motion of galaxies and their associated clusters over a region hundreds of millions of light-years across.

However it is not necessarily an object of mass that causes the anomaly but more so a compression bubble: FSG. Because FSG is attracted to all visible mass, all things have their own bubble: including humans and every other life form. Every rock, every grain of sand, every water molecule. In fact all objects within the universe. It is analogous to an immune system reacting to the invasion of a foreign body. The universe may have began as a pure empty, endless space. The only things that existed may have been FSG and dark energy. Once the big bang or what ever beginning commenced, then FSG began to compress and remove any object found. To compress them out of existence. However the expansion of visible mass, was too great for FSG to contend with and that has led us to a universe filled with objects. FSG is not strong enough to return the universe to its original state. Therefore, it is expected that the universe will go on forever, recycling mass and taking mass from dark matter to produce more stars, more planets, more moons and more life forms, all linked by and manipulated by the effects of Free Space Gravity.

There is one final complex question. Exactly what is gravity made from and how is it attracted to mass and to other gravity bubbles? The answer or should I say probabilities, in my next paper.

⁷ www.universetoday.com

